arXiv:1701.02548v1 [math.NT] 10 Jan 2017

# A UNIFORM STABILITY PRINCIPLE FOR DUAL LATTICES

MARTIN VODIČKA AND PAVOL ZLATOŠ

Abstract. We prove a highly uniform stability or "almost-near" theorem for dual lattices of lattices $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. More precisely, we show that, for a vector $x$ from the linear span of a lattice $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, subject to $\lambda_1(L) \geq \lambda > 0$, to be $\varepsilon$-close to some vector from the dual lattice $L^\star$ of $L$, it is enough that the inner products $u\,x$ are $\delta$-close (with $\delta < 1/3$) to some integers for all vectors $u \in L$ satisfying $\|u\| \leq r$, where $r > 0$ depends on $n$, $\lambda$, $\delta$ and $\varepsilon$, only. This generalizes an analogous result proved for integral vector lattices in [13]. The proof is nonconstructive, using the ultraproduct construction and a slight portion of nonstandard analysis.

Informally, a property of objects of certain kind is "stable" if objects "almost satisfying" this property are already "close" to objects having the property. Making precise the vague notions "almost satisfying" and "close" various rigorous notions of stability can be obtained. The study of stability of functional equations originates from a question about the stability of additive functions and, more generally, of homomorphisms between metrizable topological groups, asked by Ulam, cf. [16], [20], [21]. Since that time it has developed to an established topic in mathematical and functional analysis and extended to a variety of (systems of) functional equations — see, e.g., Rassias [17], Székelyhidi [19]. The study of stability of the homomorphy property with respect to the compact-open topology was commenced by the second of the present authors [22], [23]. A systematic and general treatment of the topic within "compact ambience" making use of nonstandard analysis is due to Anderson [1]. The recent survey article by Boualem and Brouzet [3] is worth while to be consulted, too.

In the present paper we will prove the stability theorem for dual lattices of vector lattices announced in the abstract, as well as some closely related results. Intuitively, such a stability should mean that every vector $x$ from the linear span of $L$, behaving almost like a vector from the dual lattice $L^\star$ of $L$ in the sense that all its inner products $u\,x = u_1x_1 + \ldots + u_nx_n$ with vectors $u$ from a "sufficiently big" subset of $L$ are "sufficiently close" to some integer, is already "arbitrarily close" to a vector $y \in L^\star$. Below is the natural precise formulation arising from this account. In it $\operatorname{span}(L)$ denotes the linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ generated by $L$, $|a|_{\mathbb{Z}} = \min_{c\in\mathbb{Z}} |a-c| = \min\big(a - \lfloor a\rfloor, \lceil a\rceil - a\big)$ denotes the distance of the real number $a$ from the set of all integers $\mathbb{Z}$, and $\|x\| = \sqrt{x\,x}$ is the usual euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

**Theorem 0.1.** *Let $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector lattice. Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a $\delta > 0$ and an $r > 0$ such that for every $x \in \operatorname{span}(L)$, satisfying $|u\,x|_{\mathbb{Z}} \leq \delta$ for all $u \in L$, $\|u\| \leq r$, there is a $y \in L^\star$ such that $\|x - y\| \leq \varepsilon$.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11H06; Secondary 11H31, 11H60, 03H05.
*Key words and phrases.* Lattice, dual lattice, stability, ultraproduct, nonstandard analysis.

Such a statement naturally raises the question how the parameters $\delta$ and $r$ depend on the parameters $n$ and $\varepsilon$, given in advance, and some properties of the lattice $L$. We, in fact, will prove a stronger and more uniform result, answering partly this question. Namely, we will show that one can pick any $\delta \in (0, 1/3)$; then $r$ can be chosen depending on $n$, $\varepsilon$, $\delta$ and, additionally, the first Minkowski minimum $\lambda_1(L)$. The precise formulation is given in Theorem 5.2. On the other hand, as the proof of this result uses the ultraproduct construction, it establishes the mere existence of such an $r$ and gives no estimate how big it actually has to be.

Theorem 5.2 generalizes an analogous result proved in [13] for integral lattices, replacing the condition $L \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ by introducing an additional parameter $\lambda > 0$ and requiring $\lambda_1(L) \geq \lambda$. The mentioned result in [13] was obtained as a side product of a stability result for characters of countable abelian groups the proof of which used Pontryagin-van Kampen duality between discrete and compact groups and the utraproduct construction. Our present result is based on an intuitively appealing almost-near Theorem 4.5 formulated in terms of nonstandard analysis which is linked to its standard counterpart (Theorem 5.2) via the ultraproduct construction applied to a sequence of lattices. As a consequence, Pontryagin-van Kampen duality is eliminated from the proof. Additionally, the passage from stability of characters to stability of dual lattices in [13] naturally led to a formulation in terms of the pair of mutually dual norms $\|x\|_1 = |x_1| + \ldots + |x_n|$ and $\|x\|_\infty = \max(|x_1|, \ldots, |x_n|)$. In our present work, starting right away from lattices, the (equivalent) formulation in terms of the (selfdual) euclidean norm $\|x\| = \|x\|_2$ seems more natural.

## 1. Lattices and dual lattices

We assume some basic knowledge of lattices or, more generally, of "geometry of numbers". The readers can consult, e.g., Cassels [4], Gruber, Lekkerkerker [7] or Lagarias [11], however, for their convenience we list here the definitions of most notions we use and some facts we build on.

A subgroup $L$ of the additive group $\mathbb{R}^n$, where $n \geq 1$, is called a *lattice* if it is discrete, i.e., there is a $\lambda > 0$ such that $\|x - y\| \geq \lambda$ for any distinct vectors $x, y \in L$. Viewing $\mathbb{R}^n$ alternatively as a vector space or an affine space and its elements as vectors or points, we speak of *vector lattices* or *point lattices*, respectively. The dimension of the linear space $\operatorname{span}(X)$ generated by a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called the *rank* of $X$, i.e., $\operatorname{rank}(X) = \dim \operatorname{span}(X)$. A *full rank lattice* is a lattice of rank equal the dimension of the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^n$. A *body* is a nonempty bounded connected set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ which equals the closure of its interior. A body $C$ is called *centrally symmetric* if $-x \in C$ for any $x \in C$; it is called *convex* if $ax + (1-a)y \in C$ for any $x, y \in C$ and $a \in [0, 1]$. An example of a centrally symmetric convex body is the euclidean unit ball $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n\,;\, \|x\| \leq 1\}$. The *Minkowski successive minima* of $L$ (with respect to the unit ball $B$) are defined by

$$\lambda_k(L) = \inf\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}\,;\ \lambda > 0,\ \operatorname{rank}(L \cap \lambda B) \geq k\}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq \operatorname{rank}(L)$. In particular, $\lambda_1(L) = \inf\{\|x\|\,;\ 0 \neq x \in L\}$. The *covering radius* of $L$ is defined by

$$\mu(L) = \inf\{r \in \mathbb{R}\,;\ r > 0,\ \operatorname{span}(L) \subseteq L + rB\}\,.$$

In all these cases the infima are in fact minima.

A *basis* of a vector lattice $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is an ordered $m$-tuple $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (v_1, \ldots, v_m)$ of linearly independent vectors from $L$ which generate $L$ as a group, i.e.,

$$L = \mathrm{grp}(v_1, \ldots, v_m) = \{c_1 v_1 + \ldots + c_m v_m \,;\; c_1, \ldots, c_m \in \mathbb{Z}\}\,.$$

Obviously, in such a case $\mathrm{rank}(L) = m$. In the proof of the fact that every lattice has a basis the following elementary lemma, to which we will refer within short, plays a key role.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a lattice of rank $m$ and $(v_1, \ldots, v_k)$, with $k < m$, be an ordered $k$-tuple of linearly independent vectors from $L$ which can be extended to a basis of $L$. Denote $V = \mathrm{span}(v_1, \ldots, v_k)$ and assume that the vector $v_{k+1} \in L \setminus V$ has a minimal (euclidean) distance to the linear subspace $V$ from among all the vectors in $L \setminus V$. Then the $(k+1)$-tuple $(v_1, \ldots, v_k, v_{k+1})$ either is already a basis of $L$ (if $k+1 = m$) or it can be extended to a basis of $L$ (if $k+1 < m$).*

Another useful consequence of the fact that every lattice has a basis is the following

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a lattice. Then a $k$-tuple of vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in L$ is linearly independent if and only if, for any* integers *$c_1, \ldots, c_k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the equality $c_1 v_1 + \ldots + c_k v_k = 0$ implies $c_1 = \ldots = c_k = 0$.*

A basis $(v_1, \ldots, v_m)$ of a lattice $L$ is *Minkowski reduced* if, for each $k \leq m$, $v_k$ is the shortest vector from $L$ such that the $k$-tuple $(v_1, \ldots, v_k)$ can be extended to a basis of $L$. It is known that every lattice has a Minkowski reduced basis.

For any subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ we denote by

$$\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}}(S) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \,;\; \forall\, u \in S\colon u\, x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

the *integral annihilator* of $S$. Obviously, $\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}}(S)$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^n$ for every $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, however, even if for a lattice $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, the integral annihilator $\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L)$ need not be a lattice, unless $\mathrm{rank}(L) = n$. The *dual lattice* of $L$ (also called the *polar* or *reciprocal lattice*) is defined as the intersection

$$L^\star = \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L) \cap \mathrm{span}(L)\,.$$

Then $L^\star$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of the same rank as $L$ and there is an obvious duality relation $L^{\star\star} = L$. The Minkowski successive minima of the original lattice $L$ and its dual lattice $L^\star$ are related through a bound due to Banaszczyk [2]. Similarly, the covering radius of the dual lattice $L^\star$ can be estimated in terms of the first Minkowski minimum of $L$ — see Lagarias, Lenstra, Schnorr [12]. Actually, in the quoted papers these results were stated and proved for full rank lattices, i.e., in case $m = n$, only. However, introducing an orthonormal basis in the linear subspace $\mathrm{span}(L)$ and replacing any vector $x \in \mathrm{span}(L)$ by its coordinates with respect to it, they can be readily generalized as follows.

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a lattice of rank $m$. Then*

$$\lambda_k(L)\, \lambda_{m-k+1}(L^\star) \leq m$$

*for each $k \leq m$, and*

$$\lambda_1(L)\, \mu(L^\star) \leq \frac{1}{2}\, m^{3/2}\,.$$

## 2. Ultraproducts of lattices

In order to keep our presentation self-contained, we give a brief account of the ultraproduct construction and some notions of nonstandard analysis here. Nonetheless, the readers are strongly advised to consult some more detailed exposition like, e.g., in Chang-Keisler [5], Davis [6] and Henson [8].

A nonempty system $D$ of subsets of a set $I$ is a called a *filter* on $I$ if $\emptyset \notin D$, $D$ is closed with respect to intersections, and, for any $X \in D$, $Y \subseteq I$, the inclusion $X \subseteq Y$ implies $Y \in D$. A filter $D$ on $I$ is called an *ultrafilter* if for any $X \subseteq I$ either $X \in D$ or $I \setminus X \in D$. Ultrafilters of the form $D = \{X \subseteq I\,;\ j \in X\}$, where $j \in I$, are called *trivial*. As a consequence of the *axiom of choice*, every filter on $I$ is contained in some ultrafilter; in particular, nontrivial ultrafilters exist on every infinite set $I$.

Given a set $I$ and a system of first order structures $(A_i)_{i\in I}$ of some first order language $\Lambda$, we can form their direct product $\prod_{i\in I} A_i$ with basic operations and relations defined componentwise. If, additionally, $D$ is a filter on $I$, then

$$\alpha \equiv_D \beta \iff \{i \in I\,;\ \alpha(i) = \beta(i)\} \in D$$

defines an equivalence relation on $\prod A_i$. Denoting by $\alpha/D$ the coset of a function $\alpha \in \prod A_i$ with respect to $\equiv_D$, the quotient

$$B = \prod A_i \Big/ D = \prod A_i \Big/ \equiv_D ,$$

naturally becomes a $\Lambda$-structure once we define

$$f^B(\alpha_1/D, \dots, \alpha_p/D) = \beta/D ,$$

where $\beta(i) = f^{A_i}(\alpha_1(i), \dots, \alpha_p(i))$, for any $p$-ary functional symbol $f$, and

$$(\alpha_1/D, \dots, \alpha_p/D) \in R^B \iff \big\{i \in I\,;\ (\alpha_1(i), \dots, \alpha_p(i)) \in R^{A_i}\big\} \in D$$

for any $p$-ary relational symbol $R$. Then $B$ is called the *filtered* or *reduced product* of the system $(A_i)$ with respect to the filter $D$. If $A_i = A$ is the same structure for each $i \in I$, then the reduced product

$$A^I/D = \prod A_i \Big/ D$$

is called the *filtered* or *reduced power* of the $\Lambda$-structure $A$. If $D$ is an ultrafilter, then we speak of *ultraproducts* and *ultrapowers*.

The key property of ultraproducts is the following

**Lemma 2.1.** [Los Theorem] *Let $(A_i)_{i\in I}$ be a system of structures of some first order language $\Lambda$, $D$ be an ultrafilter on the index set $I$, $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ be a $\Lambda$-formula and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \in \prod A_i$. Then the statement $\Phi(\alpha_1/D, \dots, \alpha_p/D)$ holds in the ultraproduct $\prod A_i/D$ if and only if*

$$\big\{i \in I\,;\ \Phi(\alpha_1(i), \dots, \alpha_p(i)) \text{ holds in } A_i\big\} \in D .$$

As a consequence, the canonic embedding of any $\Lambda$-structure $A$ into its ultrapower ${}^*A = A^I/D$ is *elementary*. More precisely, identifying every element $a \in A$ with the coset $\bar a/D$ of the constant function $\bar a(i) = a$, we have

$$\Phi(a_1, \dots, a_p) \text{ holds in } A \iff \Phi(a_1, \dots, a_p) \text{ holds in } {}^*A$$

for every $\Lambda$-formula $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and any $a_1, \dots, a_p \in A$. This equivalence will be referred to as the *transfer principle*.

The above accounts almost directly apply to many-sorted structures, like modules over rings or vector spaces over fields, as well (see [8]). In particular, if $(V)_{i\in I}$ is a system of vector spaces over a field $F$, then the ultraproduct $\prod V_i/D$ becomes a vector space of the ultrapower ${}^*F = F^I/D$, which is a field elementarily extending $F$. Similarly, if $(G_i)_{i\in I}$ is a system of abelian groups, viewed as modules over the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$, then the ultraproduct $\prod G_i/D$ becomes not only an abelian group but also a module over the ring of *hyperintegers* ${}^*\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}^I/D$, elementarily extending the ring $\mathbb{Z}$. And, what is of crucial importance, the Łos Theorem is still true for formulas in the corresponding two-sorted language.

Coming to the core, from now on $I = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ denotes the set of all positive integers and $D$ is some fixed nontrivial ultrafilter on $I$. We form the ordered field of *hyperreal numbers* as the ultrapower ${}^*\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^I/D$ of the ordered field $\mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}\,;\ \exists\, r \in \mathbb{R},\, r > 0\colon\ |x| < r\}\,,$$
$$\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}\,;\ \forall\, r \in \mathbb{R},\, r > 0\colon\ |x| < r\}$$

denote the sets of all *finite* hyperreals and of all *infinitesimals*, respectively. It can be easily verified that $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}$ is a subring of ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ is an ideal in $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}$. Hyperreal numbers not belonging to $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}$ are called *infinite*. For $x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ we sometimes write $|x| < \infty$ instead of $x \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}$, and $x \sim \infty$ instead of $x \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}$. Two hyperreals $x$, $y$ are said to be *infinitesimally close*, in notation $x \approx y$, if $x - y \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, for each $x \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}$, there is a unique real number ${}^\circ x \in \mathbb{R}$, called the *standard part* of $x$, such that $x \approx {}^\circ x$. As a consequence, $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}$ as ordered fields.

In terms of sequences, $x = \alpha/D$, where $\alpha\colon I \to \mathbb{R}$, is finite if and only if there is a positive $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\{i \in I\,;\ |\alpha(i)| < r\} \in D$; is it the case then the sequence $\alpha$ converges to ${}^\circ x$ with respect to the filter $D$. In particular, $x$ is infinitesimal if and only if ${}^\circ x = 0$, i.e., if and only if the sequence $\alpha$ converges to 0 with respect to the filter $D$. As $D$ necessarily extends the Frechet filter, $\lim_{i\to\infty} \alpha(i) = a \in \mathbb{R}$ in the usual sense implies ${}^\circ x = a$, i.e., $x \approx a$.

The standard part map has the following homomorphy properties with respect to the field operations:

$${}^\circ(x + y) = {}^\circ x + {}^\circ y \quad \text{and} \quad {}^\circ(x\,y) = {}^\circ x\,{}^\circ y$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}$, and if additionally $x \not\approx 0$, then also ${}^\circ\big(x^{-1}\big) = \big({}^\circ x\big)^{-1}$.

Along with the equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness $\approx$, we introduce the equivalence relation $\sim$ of *order equality* on ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$x \sim 0 \ \Leftrightarrow\ x = 0\,, \quad \text{and} \quad x \sim y \ \Leftrightarrow\ 0 \not\approx \left|\frac{x}{y}\right| < \infty \ \text{ for } \ x, y \neq 0\,.$$

Then $x \sim y$ is pronounced as "$x$ and $y$ are of the *same order*". However, as $\infty \notin {}^*\mathbb{R}$, one cannot infer $x \sim y$ from $x \sim \infty$ and $y \sim \infty$. We also say that $x$ is of *smaller order* than $y$ or that $y$ is of *bigger order* than $x$, in symbols $x \ll y$, if $y \neq 0$ and $\frac{x}{y} \approx 0$. Obviously, for $x, y \neq 0$, $x \sim y$ is equivalent to neither $x \ll y$ nor $y \ll x$.

According to the transfer principle, we can identify the vector space $({}^*\mathbb{R})^n$ over the field ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ and the ultrapower ${}^*(\mathbb{R}^n) = (\mathbb{R}^n)^I/D$, so that the notation ${}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ is unambiguous. More generally, for any subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ we identify the ultrapower ${}^*S = S^I/D$ with the subset

$$\big\{(\alpha_1/D, \dots, \alpha_n/D) \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^n\,;\ \{i \in I\,;\ (\alpha_1(i), \dots, \alpha_n(i)) \in S\} \in D\big\}$$

of ${}^*\mathbb{R}^n$. In the same vein, the inner product $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ extends to the inner product ${}^*\mathbb{R}^n \times {}^*\mathbb{R}^n \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$, preserving all its first order properties. However, in order to discern the linear spans with respect to the fields $\mathbb{R}$ and ${}^*\mathbb{R}$, respectively, we denote the latter by

$$ {}^*\mathrm{span}(X) = \{a_1x_1 + \ldots + a_nx_n\,;\ x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X,\ a_1, \ldots, a_n \in {}^*\mathbb{R}\}\,, $$

allowing $X$ to be any subset of ${}^*\mathbb{R}^n$, and call it the *internal linear span* of $X$. Analogously, we discern the lattice or subgroup, i.e., the $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule $\mathrm{grp}(v_1, \ldots, v_m)$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ generated by vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and the internal lattice *internally generated* by vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_m \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$, i.e., the ${}^*\mathbb{Z}$-submodule

$$ {}^*\mathrm{grp}(v_1, \ldots, v_m) = \{c_1v_1 + \ldots + c_mv_m\,;\ c_1, \ldots, c_m \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}\} $$

of ${}^*\mathbb{R}^n$.

Similarly as in ${}^*\mathbb{R}$, vectors from $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ are called *finite* and vectors from $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ are called *infinitesimal*. Obviously,

$$ \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^n = \big\{x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^n\,;\ \|x\| < \infty\big\}\,, $$
$$ \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}^n = \big\{x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^n\,;\ \|x\| \approx 0\big\}\,. $$

Both $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ are vector spaces over $\mathbb{R}$ and even modules over $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}$, but not over ${}^*\mathbb{R}$. Vectors $x, y \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ are said to be *infinitesimally close*, in notation $x \approx y$, if $x - y \in \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}^n$, i.e., if $\|x - y\| \approx 0$. The *standard part* of a vector $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ is the vector ${}^\circ x = ({}^\circ x_1, \ldots, {}^\circ x_n)$; obviously, ${}^\circ x$ is the unique vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$ infinitesimally close to $x$. Then $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ as vector spaces over $\mathbb{R}$.

Though the ultraproduct construction can be applied to any system of lattices $L_i \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, it is sufficient for our purpose to deal with lattices situated in the same ambient space ${}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \geq 1$ fixed. Given a sequence $(L_i)_{i\in I}$ of lattices $L_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ we can form the ultraproduct $\prod L_i/D$ and identify it with the subset

$$ L = \big\{(\alpha_1/D, \ldots, \alpha_n/D) \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^n\,;\ \{i \in I\,;\ (\alpha_1(i), \ldots, \alpha_n(i)) \in L_i\} \in D\big\} $$

of the vector space ${}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ over ${}^*\mathbb{R}$. Then $L$ is a "discrete" additive subgroup of ${}^*\mathbb{R}^n$, i.e., it is a module over the ring of *hyperintegers* ${}^*\mathbb{Z}$ and it is discrete in the sense that there is a positive $\lambda \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ such that $\|x - y\| \geq \lambda$ for any distinct $x, y \in L$. However, it should be noticed that $\lambda$ may well be infinitesimal. Moreover, as $D$ is an ultrafilter, there is an $m \leq n$ and a set $J \in D$ such that $\mathrm{rank}(L_i) = m$ for each $i \in J$. We write $\mathrm{rank}(L) = m$ and refer to $L$ as an *internal lattice* in ${}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ of rank $m$. Then we can assume, without loss of generality, that $\mathrm{rank}(L_i) = m$ for each $i \in I$. The sequence of Minkowski successive minima can be defined for such an internal lattice $L$ in the natural way:

$$ \lambda_k(L) = \big(\lambda_k(L_i)\big)_{i\in I}/D $$

for $k \leq m$. Then $0 < \lambda_1(L) \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_m(L)$ is a sequence of *hyperreal* numbers, hence it can contain both infinitesimals as well as infinite hyperreals. Additionally, we put

$$ \mathrm{rank}_0(L) = \#\{k\,;\ 1 \leq k \leq m,\ \lambda_k(L) \approx 0\}\,, $$
$$ \mathrm{rank}_{\mathrm{f}}(L) = \#\{k\,;\ 1 \leq k \leq m,\ \lambda_k(L) < \infty\}\,, $$

where $\#\,H$ denotes the number of elements of a finite set $H$. We admit that $\mathrm{rank}_0(L) = 0$ if $\lambda_1(L) \not\approx 0$, as well as $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathrm{f}}(L) = 0$ if $\lambda_1(L) \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}$. Obviously,

if $\mathrm{rank}_0(L) > 0$, then it is the biggest $k \le m$ such that $\lambda_k(L) \approx 0$; similarly, if $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathrm{f}}(L) > 0$, then it is the biggest $k \le m$ such that $\lambda_k(L) < \infty$.

At the same time, we can assume that $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in \prod L_i$ are functions such that, for each $i \in I$ (or at least for each $i$ from some set $J \in D$), the $m$-tuple of vectors $\boldsymbol{\beta}(i) = (\beta_1(i), \dots, \beta_m(i))$ is a Minkowski reduced basis of the lattice $L_i$. Then, due to Łos Theorem (Lemma 2.1), the $m$-tuple $\boldsymbol{\beta}/D = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$, where $v_k = \beta_k/D$ for $k \le m$, is a Minkowski reduced basis of the internal lattice $L$, i.e., the vectors $v_1, \dots, v_m$ are linearly independent over ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ and generate $L$ as a ${}^*\mathbb{Z}$-module.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let $L \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ be an internal lattice of rank $m$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$ be a Minkowski reduced basis of $L$. Then the following hold true:*

*(a) If $\|v_k\| \ll \|v_{k+1}\|$ for some $k < m$ and $V = {}^*\mathrm{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$, then $\|x\| \not\ll \|v_{k+1}\|$ for every vector $x \in L \smallsetminus V$.*

*(b) $\|v_k\| \sim \lambda_k(L)$ for each $k \le m$.*

*Proof.* (a) Assume that, under the assumptions of (a), we have $\|x\| \ll \|v_{k+1}\|$ for some $x \in L \smallsetminus V$. For any vector $y \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ we denote $y_V$ its orthogonal projection to $V$. Let us take a vector $z \in L \smallsetminus V$ such that its distance $z - z_V$ to $V$ is minimal from among all the vectors $y \in L \smallsetminus V$. Therefore,

$$\|z - z_V\| \le \|x - x_V\| \le \|x\| \,,$$

As $z_V \in V$, there are hyperreals $a_1, \dots, a_k \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ such that $z_V = a_1 v_1 + \ldots + a_k v_k$. Denoting $c_j = \lfloor a_j \rfloor$ their lower integer parts and $z' = z - c_1 v_1 - \ldots - c_k v_k \in L$, we have $z - z' \in V$, hence $\|z' - z'_V\| = \|z - z_V\|$, so that the vector $z' \in L$ has the same minimality property as $z$. Then, according to Lemma 1.1 and the transfer principle, the $(k+1)$-tuple $(v_1, \dots, v_k, z')$ can be extended to a basis of $L$, hence $\|v_{k+1}\| \le \|z'\|$, as the basis $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ is Minkowski reduced. At the same time,

$$z'_V = (a_1 - c_1)v_1 + \ldots + (a_k - c_k)v_k \,,$$

with $|a_j - c_j| < 1$ for each $j \le k$. From the triangle inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|z'\| &\le \|z'_V\| + \|z' - z'_V\| \\ &= \|(a_1 - c_1)v_1 + \ldots + (a_k - c_k)v_k\| + \|z - z_V\| \\ &< \|v_1\| + \ldots + \|v_k\| + \|x\| \,. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\|z'\| \ll \|v_{k+1}\|$, and the more $\|z'\| < \|v_{k+1}\|$, which is a contradiction.

(b) As $\|v_1\| = \lambda_1(L)$, the statement of (b) is true for $k = 1$. Assume, for a contradiction, that $k < m$ for the biggest index satisfying $\|v_k\| \sim \lambda_k(L)$. Then

$$1 \le \frac{\|v_k\|}{\lambda_k(L)} < \infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{\lambda_{k+1}(L)}{\|v_{k+1}\|} \approx 0 \,.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\|v_k\|}{\|v_{k+1}\|} \le \frac{\lambda_{k+1}(L)}{\lambda_k(L)} \cdot \frac{\|v_k\|}{\|v_{k+1}\|} = \frac{\|v_k\|}{\lambda_k(L)} \cdot \frac{\lambda_{k+1}(L)}{\|v_{k+1}\|} \approx 0 \,.$$

Then, according to (a), $\frac{\|x\|}{\|v_{k+1}\|} \not\approx 0$ for every vector $x \in L \smallsetminus {}^*\mathrm{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$. In particular, $\frac{\lambda_{k+1}(L)}{\|v_{k+1}\|} \not\approx 0$. □

**Remark 2.3.** (b) of Lemma 2.2 follows immediately from the following estimates of the lengths of vectors in any Minkowski reduced basis $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ of a rank $m$

lattice $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ in terms of its Minkowski successive minima, applying the transfer principle:

$$\lambda_k(L) \leq \|v_k\| \leq 2^k \lambda_k(L)$$

for all $k \leq m$ (see Lagarias [10]; Mahler [14] has even better upper bounds). Then (a) could be proved as an easy consequence of (b). However, it is perhaps worthwhile to notice that, using the internal lattice concept, the purely qualitative estimates (a), (b) follow already from Lemma 1.1 and the existence of Minkowski reduced bases.

The *standard part* or *observable trace* $^\circ X$ of a subset $X \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ consists of the standard parts of all finite vectors from $X$; alternatively, it can be formed by taking just the finite vectors from $X$ and "merging together" those which are infinitesimally close, i.e., indiscernible. Identifying the results of both approaches, we have

$$^\circ X = \big(X \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^n\big)/{\approx} = \big\{^\circ x\,;\ x \in X \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^n\big\} = \big\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n\,;\ \exists\, x \in X\colon y \approx x\big\}\,.$$

In particular, for an additive subgroup $G \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ we denote

$$\mathbb{F}G = G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^n \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbb{I}G = G \cap \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}^n$$

the additive subgroups of ${}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ formed by the finite and infinitesimal elements in $G$, respectively. Then its standard part (observable trace) $^\circ G$ is an additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^n$ which can be identified with the quotient

$$^\circ G = \mathbb{F}G/\mathbb{I}G\,.$$

However, even for an internal lattice $L \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$, its standard part $^\circ L$ is not necessarily discrete, hence it need not be a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^n$. A more detailed account will follow after a preliminary lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let $L \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ be an internal lattice of rank $m$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$ be a Minkowski reduced basis of $L$ such that all the vectors in $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ are infinitesimal. Then there exist hyperintegers $c_1, \dots, c_m \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$ such that all the vectors $c_k v_k$ are finite but not infinitesimal and $c_k$ divides $c_{k-1}$ whenever $2 \leq k \leq m$. For such a choice of $c_1$, …, $c_m$ the internal sublattice $M = {}^*\mathrm{grp}(c_1 v_1, \dots, c_m v_m) \subseteq L$ contains no infinitesimal vector except for 0, in other words $\lambda_1(M) \not\approx 0$.*

*Proof.* Let's start with an arbitrary $c_m \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$ such that $c_m v_m \in \mathbb{F}L \smallsetminus \mathbb{I}L$ (e.g., one can put $c_m = \left\lceil \|v_m\|^{-1} \right\rceil$ guaranteeing that $1 \leq \|c_m v_m\| < 1 + \|v_m\| \approx 1$). Further we proceed by backward recursion. Assuming that $2 \leq k \leq m$ and $c_k$ is already defined, we put $c_{k-1} = c_k$ if $c_k v_{k-1} \not\approx 0$ (as $\|v_{k-1}\| \leq \|v_k\|$, $c_k v_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}L$ is satisfied automatically), otherwise we put $c_{k-1} = b c_k$ where $b \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$ is any hyperinteger such that $b c_k v_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}L \smallsetminus \mathbb{I}L$ (e.g., $b = \left\lceil \|c_k v_{k-1}\|^{-1} \right\rceil$ will work). Obviously, $c_k \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$ divides $c_{k-1} \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$ for any $2 \leq k \leq m$.

Assume that $x \approx 0$ where $x = a_1 c_1 v_1 + \ldots + a_m c_m v_m$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_m \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$, not all equal to 0. Let $q \leq m$ be the biggest index such that $a_q \neq 0$. Then

$$x' = \frac{1}{c_q}\, x = \sum_{k=1}^{q} \frac{a_k c_k}{c_q}\, v_k \neq 0$$

is a vector from the internal lattice $L$. Moreover, $c_q x' = x \approx 0$, while $c_q v_q \not\approx 0$, hence $\|x'\| \ll \|v_q\|$. Let $p \leq q$ be the smallest index such that $\|x'\| \ll \|v_p\|$. Denote $\lambda = \|x'\|$ if $p = 1$, or $\lambda = \max(\|v_{p-1}\|\,, \|x'\|)$ if $p > 1$. Then the hyperball $\lambda\, {}^*B$

contains $p$ linearly independent vectors $v_1, \dots, v_{p-1}, x'$ from $L$, hence $\lambda_p(L) \le \lambda$ and, at the same time, $\lambda \ll \|v_p\|$, contradicting Lemma 2.2 (b). □

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let $L = \prod L_i/D \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ be an internal lattice of rank $m$ and ${}^\circ L$ be its observable trace. Then the following hold true:*

*(a) ${}^\circ L$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if there is a positive $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the set $\{i \in I\,;\ \lambda_1(L_i) \ge \lambda\}$ belongs to $D$. This is equivalent to $\lambda_1(L) \not\approx 0$ as well as to $\operatorname{rank}_0(L) = 0$.*

*(b) ${}^\circ L$ is the direct sum of a linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ of dimension $\operatorname{rank}_0(L)$ and a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of rank $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathrm{f}}(L) - \operatorname{rank}_0(L)$.*

*(c) ${}^\circ L$ is a lattice of rank $q \le m$ if and only if $\operatorname{rank}_0(L) = 0$ and $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathrm{f}}(L) = q$.*

*Proof.* (a) The equivalence of any of the first two conditions to the discreteness of the group ${}^\circ L$ is obvious. Similarly, any of the obviously equivalent conditions $\lambda_1(L) \not\approx 0$ and $\operatorname{rank}_0(L) = 0$ implies the discreteness of ${}^\circ L$. Otherwise, there is at least one nonzero infinitesimal vector $v \in L$. Then one can find a hyperinteger $c \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$ such that $cv$ is finite but not infinitesimal. Obviously, its standard part $w = {}^\circ(cv) \ne 0$ belongs to ${}^\circ L$, so that $\operatorname{span}(w) = \mathbb{R}w$ is a line in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We prove the inclusion $\mathbb{R}w \subseteq {}^\circ L$. Taking any $x = aw \in \mathbb{R}w$, with $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and putting $b = \lfloor ac \rfloor \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$, we have $b \le ac < b + 1$ which, by the virtue of $v \approx 0$, implies $bv \approx acv$. Hence

$$x = aw \approx acv \approx bv \in \mathbb{F}L\,,$$

and $x = {}^\circ(bv) \in {}^\circ L$. It follows that ${}^\circ L$, containing the line $\mathbb{R}w \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, is not discrete.

(b) Let $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ be a Minkowski reduced basis of $L$. Denote $p = \operatorname{rank}_0(L)$ and $q = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathrm{f}}(L)$. According to Lemma 2.2 (b), a vector $v_k$ is infinitesimal if and only if $k \le p$, and it is finite if and only if $k \le q$. For the same reason, if $x \in L \smallsetminus {}^*\operatorname{grp}(v_1, \dots, v_q)$ then $\|x\| \not\ll v_{q+1}$, hence $x \notin \mathbb{F}L$. Therefore the standard part ${}^\circ L$ of the internal lattice $L$ coincides with the standard part of its internal sublattice ${}^*\operatorname{grp}(v_1, \dots, v_q)$. Due to Lemma 2.4, there are hyperintegers $c_1, \dots, c_p \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$ such that $c_k v_k \in \mathbb{F}L \smallsetminus \mathbb{I}L$ for any $k$ and $c_k$ divides $c_{k-1}$ for $k \ge 2$. Then the internal sublattice $M = {}^*\operatorname{grp}(c_1 v_1, \dots, c_p v_p) \subseteq L$ contains no nonzero infinitesimal vector. Let us denote $w_k = {}^\circ(c_k v_k)$ for $k \le p$, and, additionally, $c_k = 1$, $w_k = {}^\circ v_k = {}^\circ(c_k v_k)$ for $p < k \le q$. As a consequence, ${}^\circ L$ coincides with the sum of the linear subspace $\operatorname{span}(w_1, \dots, w_p)$ and the lattice $\operatorname{grp}(w_{p+1}, \dots, w_q)$.

The proof of (b) will be complete once we establish the following claim.

**Claim.** *The vectors $w_1, \dots, w_q$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$.*

Indeed, let $b \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$ be any infinite hypernatural number. Put $v'_k = b^{-1} v_k$, $c'_k = b c_k$ for any $k \le q$. Then all the vectors $v'_1, \dots, v'_q$ are infinitesimal and form a Minkowski reduced basis of the lattice $L' = \{b^{-1}x\,;\ x \in L\}$. Now, all the vectors $c'_k v'_k = c_k v_k$, where $k \le q$, are finite but not infinitesimal and $c'_k$ divides $c'_{k-1}$ for $k \ge 2$. From Lemma 2.4 we infer that the internal lattice

$$N = {}^*\operatorname{grp}(c_1 v_1, \dots, c_q v_q) = {}^*\operatorname{grp}\big(c'_1 v'_1, \dots, c'_q v'_q\big)$$

satisfies $\lambda_1(N) \not\approx 0$. Then, by (a), its observable trace ${}^\circ N$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^n$. According to Lemma 1.2, it suffices to show that $a_1 w_1 + \ldots + a_q w_q = 0$ implies $a_1 = \ldots = a_q = 0$ for any *integers* $a_1, \dots, a_q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since the first equality is equivalent to $a_1 c_1 v_1 + \dots + a_q c_q v_q \approx 0$ and the left hand vector belongs to $N$, which contains no infinitesimal vector except for 0, we have $a_1 c_1 v_1 + \dots + a_q c_q v_q = 0$,

and the desired conclusion follows from the linear independence of the vectors $c_1v_1, \dots, c_qv_q$ over ${}^*\mathbb{R}$.

(c) follows directly from (a) and (b). □

Let us record the following direct consequence of (b).

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let $L$ be an internal lattice in ${}^*\mathbb{R}^n$. Then its observable trace ${}^\circ L$ is a closed subgroup of the additive group $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

## 3. A linear-algebraic digression

In this section we prove a preliminary nonstandard stability or "almost-near" result concerning solutions of systems of linear equations. To this end we need to introduce some notions and notational conventions.

Given a field $F$ we denote by $F^{m\times n}$ the vector space of all $m \times n$ matrices over $F$. Unless otherwise said, the vector space $F^n$ consists of column vectors. Given a vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^{\mathrm{T}} \in F^n$ and a subset $J = \{j_1 < \dots < j_q\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ we denote by $x^J \in F^q$ the vector with components $x^J_i = x_{j_i}$ for $i \le q$. If $n = q+s$ and $\{1, \dots, n\} = J \cup K$ is a partition of the index set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ into two disjoint sets $J = \{j_1 < \dots < j_q\}$, $K = \{k_1 < \dots < k_s\}$, then, for any vectors $u \in F^q$, $v \in F^s$, we denote by $u \sqcup_{J,K} v$ the unique vector $x \in F^n$ satisfying $x^J = u$, $x^K = v$.

It is a folklore (see, e.g., Strang [18]) that given a matrix $A \in F^{m\times n}$ and a vector $b \in F^m$, the system of linear equations $A\,\xi = b$ can be solved via the Gauss-Jordan elimination, i.e., by applying a sequence of elementary row operations to the augmented matrix $(A \,|\, b)$ of the system, transforming it into the reduced row echelon form $(B \,|\, c)$, corresponding to the equivalent system $B\,\xi = c$ out of which all the solutions can be written down immediately. However, the echelon condition is mainly of cosmetic nature and we can dispense with it, replacing it by a weaker one. Let us call a $T \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$ a *transversal set* of the matrix $A$ if it satisfies the following four conditions:

(T1) if $(i, j) \in T$, then $a_{ij} = 1$ and $a_{kj} = 0$ for any $k \le m$, $k \ne i$;

(T2) if the $i$th row of $A$ is nonzero, then there is a unique $j \le n$ such that $(i, j) \in T$;

(T3) if $(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2) \in T$ and $i_1 < i_2$, then $j_1 < j_2$;

(T4) if $(i, j) \in T$ and the $k$th row of $A$ is identically zero, then $i < k$.

A matrix $A \in F^{m\times n}$ is called *transversally reduced* if it has some (not necessarily unique) transversal set. Trivially, every matrix in reduced row echelon form is transversally reduced.

It is obvious that applying a series of elementary row operations to the augmented matrix $(A \,|\, b)$ of the system $A\,\xi = b$, transforming it into a transversally reduced matrix $(B \,|\, c)$, we obtain an equivalent system $B\,\xi = c$ which has a solution if and only if $(B \,|\, c)$ does not contain the row $(0, \dots, 0 \,|\, 1)$. Is it the case, then all the solutions can be described as follows: Let $T$ be some transversal set of the matrix $B$ (hence of the matrix $(B \,|\, c)$, as well). Denote

$$I = \mathrm{dom}(T) = \{i \le m\,;\ \exists\, j \le n \colon (i, j) \in T\}\,,$$
$$J = \mathrm{rng}(T) = \{j \le n\,;\ \exists\, i \le m \colon (i, j) \in T\}\,, \quad \text{and} \quad K = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus J\,.$$

Then the relation $T$ is a bijection $T \colon I \to J$. Let further $B^{I,K}$ be the matrix obtained from $B$ by taking just its rows with indices belonging to $I$ and columns with indices belonging to $K$. Then a vector $x \in F^n$ is a solution of the system

$A\,\xi = b$ if and only if $x^J = c^I - B^{I,K}\,x^K$. In other words, every solution $x$ of this system can be obtained in the following way: Denote $q = \#\,I = \#\,J$, $s = \#\,K$, take any vector $v \in F^s$ (parameters) and put $u = c^I - B^{I,K}\,v \in F^q$. Then $x = u \sqcup_{J,K} v$.

A matrix $A \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ is called *finite*, in symbols $A \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$, if all its entries $a_{ij}$ are finite. In that case the matrix ${}^\circ A = \left({}^\circ a_{ij}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ is called the *standard part* of $A$. The preservation of addition and multiplication by the standard part map on $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}$ extends to finite matrices, i.e.,

$$ {}^\circ(A + B) = {}^\circ A + {}^\circ B \qquad \text{and} \qquad {}^\circ(A\,C) = {}^\circ A\,{}^\circ C $$

for any $A, B \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$, $C \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^{n\times p}$.

A matrix $P \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^{m\times m}$ is called *strictly regular* if $\det P \not\approx 0$. Obviously, a strongly regular matrix $P \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^{m\times m}$ is regular (i.e., invertible) over ${}^*\mathbb{R}$, as well. Moreover, if $P$ is strongly regular and finite, then so is its inverse $P^{-1}$ and we have

$$ {}^\circ\left(P^{-1}\right) = \left({}^\circ P\right)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \det\left({}^\circ P^{-1}\right) = \left(\det {}^\circ P\right)^{-1}. $$

Now, we are ready to state and prove the announced linear algebraic almost-near result. Its proof relies on an easy but technical lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let $A \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ be any matrix such that its rows are linearly independent over the field ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ and the standard parts of its rows are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$. Then there is a finite and strictly regular matrix $P \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^{m\times m}$ such that the matrix $P\,A \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ is transversally reduced.*

Notice that the finiteness of $P$ implies that $P\,A$ is finite as well, and the strict regularity of $P$ guarantees that the standard parts of the rows in $P\,A$ are still linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$. Trivially, as $P$ is regular, the rows of $P\,A$ are linearly independent over ${}^*\mathbb{R}$, too.

*Proof.* Obviously, under the assumptions of the Lemma, $m \le n$. We will proceed by induction on $m$. For $m = 1$, let $j \le n$ be the least index such that in (the single row of) the matrix $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ we have $a_j \not\approx 0$. Then $P = \left(a_j^{-1}\right)$ does the job.

Now, assume that the statement is true for some $m \ge 1$ and $\tilde{A} \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^{(m+1)\times n}$ satisfies the assumptions of the Lemma. Denote by $A$ the matrix formed by the first $m$ rows of $\tilde{A}$ and by $\tilde{a}$ the last row of $\tilde{A}$. According to the induction assumption, there is a strictly regular matrix $P \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^{m\times m}$ such that the matrix $B = P\,A$ is transversally reduced. Denoting $\tilde{P} = \mathrm{diag}(P, 1)$, we have

$$ \tilde{P}\,\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A \\ \tilde{a} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B \\ \tilde{a} \end{pmatrix}. $$

Due to the strong regularity of $P$, the rows of ${}^\circ A$ and the rows of ${}^\circ B = {}^\circ P\,{}^\circ A$ generate the same $m$-dimensional linear subspace $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the transversal set of the matrix $B$ can be written in the form $T = \{(1, j_1), \ldots, (m, j_m)\}$, where $J = \{j_1 < \ldots < j_m\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let us form the vector

$$ \tilde{b} = \tilde{a} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{a}_{j_i}(b_{i1}, \ldots, b_{in}) \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^n. $$

Then $\tilde{b}_j = 0$ for $j \in J$. Since ${}^\circ\tilde{a} \notin V$, there is a $k \le n$, $k \notin J$ such that $\tilde{b}_k \not\approx 0$. Let $Q \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^{(m+1)\times(m+1)}$ be the matrix obtained from the unit matrix $E_{m+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+1)\times(m+1)}$ by replacing its last row by the vector $\tilde{b}_k^{-1}(-\tilde{a}_{j_1}, \ldots, -\tilde{a}_{j_m}, 1)$, and $R \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^{(m+1)\times(m+1)}$ be the matrix obtained from $E_{m+1}$ by replacing its its last

column by the vector $(-b_{1k},\dots,-b_{mk},1)^{\mathrm{T}}$. Obviously, both $Q$ and $R$ are finite and strongly regular (in fact, $\det Q=\tilde b_k^{-1}$ and $\det R=1$). It is immediately clear that the matrix $R\,Q\,\tilde P\cdot\tilde A$ satisfies conditions (T1), (T2) and, as it contains no zero rows, also (T4). Finally let $S$ be the matrix obtained by permuting the rows of the unit matrix $E_{m+1}$ in such a way, that the matrix $S\,R\,Q\,\tilde P\cdot\tilde A$ satisfies condition (TR3), too. Then $S\,R\,Q\,\tilde P$ is finite and strongly regular and $S\,R\,Q\,\tilde P\cdot\tilde A$ is already transversally reduced. □

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let $A\in\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ be any matrix such that its rows are linearly independent over the field ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ and the standard parts of its rows are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$, and $b\in\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^m$. Assume that $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies ${}^\circ A\,x={}^\circ b$. Then there is a vector $y\in{}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $y\approx x$ and $A\,y=b$.*

Notice that the vector $y$, being infinitesimally close to the standard vector $x$, is necessarily finite.

*Proof.* As first notice that the above assumptions guarantee that both the systems $A\,\xi=b$, ${}^\circ A\,\xi={}^\circ b$ indeed have solutions, because $m\le n$, the rows of $A$ generate ${}^*\mathbb{R}^m$ over ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ and the rows of ${}^\circ A$ generate $\mathbb{R}^m$ over $\mathbb{R}$.

Due to the last Lemma 3.1, there is a strongly regular matrix $P\in\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^{m\times m}$ such that the matrix $B=P\,A$ is transversally reduced. Let $T$ be some transversal set of the matrix $B$. Then $\mathrm{dom}(T)=\{1,\dots,m\}$; additionally we put $J=\mathrm{dom}(T)$ and $K=\{1,\dots,n\}\setminus J$. Obviously, $\#\,J=m$. Applying $P$ to the augmented matrix $(A\,|\,b)$ of the system $A\,\xi=b$ we obtain the matrix $(B\,|\,P\,b)$, representing the equivalent system $B\,\xi=P\,b$. Let $B'\in\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^{m\times(n-m)}$ be the matrix formed by the columns of $B$ with indices belonging to $K$.

At the same time, $T$ is a transversal set for the matrix ${}^\circ B={}^\circ P\,{}^\circ A\in\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$, which, henceforth, is transversally reduced, as well. It follows that the system ${}^\circ A\,\xi={}^\circ b$ is equivalent to the system ${}^\circ B\,\xi={}^\circ P\,{}^\circ b$. Now, taking just the columns of ${}^\circ B$ with indices in $K$ we obtain the matrix ${}^\circ B'={}^\circ\big(B'\big)$. Assume that $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ is indeed a solution of the last system, i.e., there is a string of parameters $v\in\mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ such that

$$x=\big({}^\circ P\,{}^\circ b-{}^\circ B'\,v\big)\sqcup_{J,K}v\,.$$

Then

$$y=\big(P\,b-B'\,v\big)\sqcup_{J,K}v\in\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^n$$

is a solution of the system $A\,\xi=b$, satisfying $y_k=v=x_k$ for $k\in K$, and

$$y_j=P\,b-B'\,v\approx{}^\circ P\,{}^\circ b-{}^\circ B'\,v=x_j$$

for $j\in J$; therefore, $y\approx x$. □

The "almost-near" character of the Proposition just proved becomes more clear in its following equivalent formulation.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let $A$, $b$ be as above. Then, for any $x\in\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $A\,x\approx b$, there is a $y\in{}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $y\approx x$ and $A\,y=b$.*

*Proof.* Under the assumptions of the Corollary we have ${}^\circ A\,{}^\circ x={}^\circ b$. By Proposition 3.2 there is a $y\in\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $y\approx{}^\circ x\approx x$ and $A\,y=b$. □

## 4. The "almost-near" theorems for dual lattices nonstandard formulation

Given an internal lattice $L = \prod L_i \big/ D$ in ${}^*\mathbb{R}^n$, its *internal integral annihilator* can be defined either as the ultraproduct of the integral annihilators of the particular lattices $L_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ or as the annihilator of $L$ with respect to the set of hyperintegers ${}^*\mathbb{Z}$. Then the Łos Theorem (Lemma 2.1) assures that both the objects coincide, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Ann}_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}}(L) = \big\{u \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^n \,;\; \forall\, x \in L \colon ux \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}\big\} = \prod \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L_i) \Big/ D\,.$$

Similarly, we have a two-fold definition of the *internal dual* of the internal lattice $L$:

$$L^\star = \mathrm{Ann}_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}}(L) \cap {}^*\mathrm{span}(L) = \prod L_i^\star \Big/ D\,.$$

Lemma 1.3, together with the transfer principle, have as consequences the following qualitative versions of transference relations between the successive minima of an internal lattice $L \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ and the successive minima and the covering radius, respectively, of its internal dual lattice.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an internal lattice of rank $m$. Then*

$$\lambda_k(L)\, \lambda_{m-k+1}(L^\star) < \infty$$

*for each $k \leq m$, and*

$$\lambda_1(L)\, \mu(L^\star) < \infty\,.$$

**Remark 4.2.** Similarly as in Remark 2.3, let us turn the readers' attention to the fact that the above qualitative relations follow already from the considerably weaker estimates than those in Lemma 1.3, namely,

$$\lambda_k(L)\, \lambda_{m-k+1}(L^\star) \leq m!\,,$$

due to Mahler [15], and the almost obvious observation

$$\mu(L^\star) \leq \frac{1}{2}\, m\, \lambda_m(L^\star)\,,$$

which jointly imply

$$\lambda_1(L)\, \mu(L^\star) \leq \frac{1}{2}\, m\, m!\,.$$

Yet weaker estimates $\lambda_k(L)\, \lambda_{m-k+1}(L^\star) \leq (m!)^2$ (see Gruber-Lekkerkerker [7], p. 125) are still sufficient.

The following is the announced nonstandard or infinitesimal version of our "almost-near" theorem for integral annihilators of internal lattices.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let $L \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ be an internal lattice. Then*

$$^\circ(\mathrm{Ann}_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}}\, L) = \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}}\big({}^\circ L\big)\,,$$

*in other words, the observable trace of the internal integral annihilator $\mathrm{Ann}_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}}\, L$ of $L$ equals the integral annihilator of the observable trace ${}^\circ L$ of $L$.*

*Proof.* Let us start with the easy inclusion ${}^\circ(\mathrm{Ann}_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}}\, L) \subseteq \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}}({}^\circ L)$. It suffices to show that ${}^\circ x \in \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}}\big({}^\circ L\big)$ for any finite $x \in \mathrm{Ann}_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}}(L)$. Taking any finite $u \in L$, the inner product $u\, x$ is finite and belongs to ${}^*\mathbb{Z}$, hence

$$^\circ u\, {}^\circ x = {}^\circ(u\, x) = u\, x \in \mathbb{Z}\,,$$

so that ${}^\circ x \in \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}}\big({}^\circ L\big)$, as required.

The "almost-near" result is contained in the inclusion $\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}}\big({}^\circ L\big) \subseteq {}^\circ(\mathrm{Ann}_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}}\, L)$ which, unfolded, reads as follows:

**Claim 1.** *For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, such that ${}^\circ u\, x \in \mathbb{Z}$ for every finite $u \in L$, there is a $y \in \mathrm{Ann}_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}}(L)$, i.e., $v\, y \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$ for every $v \in L$, such that $y \approx x$.*

Let us prove this Claim. Let $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$ be a Minkowski reduced basis of $L$, and $0 \le p \le q \le m$ be natural numbers such that $v_1, \dots, v_p$ are all the infinitesimal vectors in $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $v_1, \dots, v_q$ are all the finite vectors in $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. Recalling Lemma 2.5 (b) and its proof, there are hyperintegers $c_1, \dots, c_p \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$ such that the vectors $c_1 v_1, \dots, c_p v_p \in L$ are finite and noninfinitesimal and each finite vector $u \in L$ is infinitesimally close to a vector of the form

$$(a_1 c_1 v_1 + \ldots + a_p c_p v_p) + (a_{p+1} v_{p+1} + \ldots + a_q v_q)\,,$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_{p+1}, \dots, a_q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let us form the matrix with columns $c_1 v_1, \dots, c_p v_p, v_{p+1}, \dots, v_q$, and denote by $A \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^{q\times n}$ its transpose. Then an $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies the condition ${}^\circ u\, x \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each finite $u \in L$ if and only if $c_k v_k\, x \approx 0$ for $k \le p$, and $|v_k\, x|_{\mathbb{Z}} \approx 0$ for $p < k \le q$. Assume that this is indeed the case and put $b = \big(0, \dots, 0, {}^\circ(v_{p+1}x), \dots, {}^\circ(v_q x)\big)^{\mathrm{T}}$. Then $b \in \mathbb{Z}^q$ and $x$ satisfies ${}^\circ A\, x = b$. By the virtue of Proposition 3.2, there is a $y \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $y \approx x$ and $A\, y = b$. Then, however, $v_k\, y = b_k = 0$ for $k \le p$, and $v_k\, y = b_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $p < k \le q$. If $q = m$, we are done. Otherwise we can single out a sequence of integers $q = q_0 < q_1 < \ldots < q_t = m$ such that

$$\big\|v_{q_{s-1}}\big\| \ll \big\|v_k\big\| \sim \big\|v_{q_s}\big\|$$

for all $s$, $k$ satisfying $1 \le s \le t$, $q_{s-1} < k \le q_s$.

We are going to construct a sequence of vectors $y^{(0)} = y$, $y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(t)} \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^n$, such that $y^{(s)} \approx x$ and $v_k\, y^{(s)} \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$ for any $s \le t$, $k \le q_s$. Then already $v\, y^{(t)} \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$ for every $v \in L$, as required. This will be achieved by a recursion argument. Obviously, to this end it is enough to prove the following

**Claim 2.** *Let $0 \le s < t$ and $z \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector such that $v_k\, z \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$ for any $k \le q_s$. Then there is vector $z' \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $z' \approx z$ and $v_k\, z' \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$ for any $k \le q_{s+1}$.*

Let us denote $q' = q_s$, $q'' = q_{s+1}$, $d = q'' - q' > 0$, for typographical reasons, and form the internal lattice $M = {}^*\mathrm{grp}(v_1, \dots, v_{q''}) \subseteq L$, as well as the internal linear subspace $V = {}^*\mathrm{span}(M) = {}^*\mathrm{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{q''}) \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$. According to Lemma 2.2 (b) and Lemma 4.1 we know that

$$\|v_k\| \sim \lambda_k(M) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_k(M)\, \lambda_{q''-k+1}(M^\star) < \infty$$

whenever $q' < k \le q''$. Putting both the relations together, for $k = q' + 1$ we particularly get

$$\|v_{q'+1}\|\ \lambda_d(M^\star) < \infty\,.$$

Realizing that the vectors $v_k$, for $q < k \le m$, are infinite, we see that $\lambda_d(M^\star) \approx 0$. Thus there are vectors $w_1, \dots, w_d \in M^\star$, linearly independent over ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ such that $\|w_j\| \le \lambda_d(M^\star)$ for $j \le d$; in particular, all the vectors $w_j$ are infinitesimal.

We will search for the vector $z'$ in the form

$$z' = z + \alpha_1 w_1 + \ldots + \alpha_d w_d$$

with unknown coefficients $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}$. This will automatically guarantee that $z' \approx z$.

As $\|v_k\| \ll \|v_{q'+1}\|$, for any $k \le q'$, $j \le d$, we have $\|v_k\| \ll \|v_{q'+1}\|$ and

$$|v_k\, w_j| \le \|v_k\|\, \|w_j\| \le \frac{\|v_k\|}{\|v_{q'+1}\|}\, \|v_{q'+1}\|\, \lambda_d(M^\star) \approx 0\,.$$

At the same time, $v_k\, w_j \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$, hence $v_k\, w_j = 0$, and

$$v_k\, z' = v_k\, z + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \alpha_j v_k\, w_j = v_k\, z \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}\,,$$

regardless of the choice of $\alpha_1, \dots \alpha_d$. Moreover, denoting $h\colon {}^*\mathbb{R}^n \to {}^*\mathbb{R}^d$ the ${}^*\mathbb{R}$-linear mapping given by $h(\xi) = (\xi\, w_1, \dots, \xi\, w_d)^{\mathrm{T}}$ for $\xi \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$, we can conclude that the vectors $v_1, \dots, v_{q'}$ form a basis of the linear subspace $V \cap \operatorname{Ker} h \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$. Indeed, as the vectors $w_1, \dots, w_d$ are linearly independent, $\operatorname{Ker} h$ has dimension $n - d$ and it equals the direct sum of the orthocomplement $V^\perp$ with dimension $n - q''$ and $V \cap \operatorname{Ker} h$. Then the latter necessarily has dimension $(n - d) - (n - q'') = q'$.

On the other hand, for $q' < k \le q''$, $j \le d$, we still have $\|v_k\| \sim \|v_{q'+1}\|$ and

$$|v_k\, w_j| \le \|v_k\|\, \|w_j\| \le \frac{\|v_k\|}{\|v_{q'+1}\|}\, \|v_{q'+1}\|\, \lambda_d(M^\star) < \infty\,,$$

hence each $v_k\, w_j$ is a finite integer, and $h(v_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ for any $k$. Since the vectors $v_1, \dots, v_{q'}, v_{q'+1}, \dots, v_{q''}$ are linearly independent over ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ and the first $q'$ from among them form a basis of $V \cap \operatorname{Ker} \psi$, the vectors $h(v_{q'+1}), \dots, h(v_{q''})$ are linearly independent over ${}^*\mathbb{R}$, as well. Then the matrix $B = (b_{ij}) \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ with entries $b_{ij} = v_{q'+i}\, w_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $0 \not\approx \det B \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $B$ is strongly regular and $B^{-1}$ is finite. Thus denoting $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_d)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^d$ the vector with coordinates $\omega_j = v_{q'+i}\, z - \lfloor v_{q'+i}\, z \rfloor$ (i.e., the fractional parts of the inner products $v_{q'+i}\, z$), for $i \le d$, the system $B\,\eta = -\omega$ has a unique solution $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)^{\mathrm{T}} = -B^{-1}\,\omega \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^d$, which means that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} v_{q'+i}\, w_j\, \alpha_j = -\omega_i$$

for each $i \le d$. Taking any $q' < k \le q''$ and putting $i = k - q'$, now, the following computation

$$\begin{aligned} v_k\, z' &= v_k\, z + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \alpha_j v_{q'+i}\, w_j = v_k\, z + \sum_{j=1}^{d} b_{ij}\alpha_j \\ &= v_{q'+i}\, z - \omega_i = \lfloor v_{q'+i}\, z \rfloor \in {}^*\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

concludes the proof of Claim 2, henceforth of Claim 1 and the Theorem, too. □

Again, the following equivalent formulation of Claim 1, i.e., of the inclusion $\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}}\big({}^\circ L\big) \subseteq {}^\circ(\operatorname{Ann}_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}} L)$, reveals more clearly its "almost-near" character.

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let $L \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ be an internal lattice. Then for each $x \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^n$, such that $|u\, x|_{\mathbb{Z}} \approx 0$ for every finite $u \in L$, there is a $y \in \operatorname{Ann}_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}}(L)$ such that $y \approx x$.*

*Proof.* If $x$ satisfies the above assumptions, then ${}^\circ(u\, x) = {}^\circ u\, {}^\circ x \in \mathbb{Z}$ for every finite $u \in L$. By Claim 1 there is a $y \in \operatorname{Ann}_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}}(L)$ such that $y \approx {}^\circ x \approx x$. □

The following is the nonstandard formulation of the "almost-near" or stability theorem for dual lattices.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let $L \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ be an internal lattice. Then for each finite vector $x \in {}^*\mathrm{span}(L)$, such that $|u\,x|_{\mathbb{Z}} \approx 0$ for every finite $u \in L$, there is a $y \in L^\star$ such that $y \approx x$.*

*Proof.* Let $V = {}^*\mathrm{span}(L) \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ and $z_V$ denote the orthogonal projection of any $z \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ to $V$. Then $\|z_V\| \le \|z\|$ for any $z$. According to Corollary 4.4, under the above assumptions there is a $y \in \mathrm{Ann}_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}}(L)$ such that $y \approx x$. Then $v\,y_V = v\,y \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$ for every $v \in L$, i.e., $y_V \in L^\star$. As $x_V = x$ and $z \mapsto z_V$ is a linear map,

$$\|x - y_V\| = \|x_V - y_V\| = \|(x-y)_V\| \le \|x - y\| \approx 0\,,$$

hence $y_V \approx x$. □

The last stability theorem is equivalent to the inclusion $\big({}^\circ L\big)^\star \subseteq {}^\circ(L^\star)$ for internal lattices $L \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$, analogous to the nontrivial inclusion $\mathrm{Ann}_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}}\big({}^\circ L\big) \subseteq {}^\circ(\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}}\, L)$ from Theorem 4.3. It is worthwhile to realize that the inclusion ${}^\circ(L^\star) \subseteq \big({}^\circ L\big)^\star$, corresponding to the easy inclusion ${}^\circ(\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}}\, L) \subseteq \mathrm{Ann}_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}}\big({}^\circ L\big)$ from that Theorem, is not true in general.

**Example 4.6.** Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be positive and $d \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ be positive and infinite. Consider the full rank internal lattice

$$L = c\,{}^*\mathbb{Z} \times d\,{}^*\mathbb{Z} = \big\{(ac, bd)^{\mathrm{T}}\,;\ a, b \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}\big\}$$

in ${}^*\mathbb{R}^2$. Then, as easily seen, its observable trace is a rank 1 lattice ${}^\circ L = c\,\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$, while its internal dual is the full rank internal lattice $L^\star = c^{-1\,*}\mathbb{Z} \times d^{-1\,*}\mathbb{Z}$ in ${}^*\mathbb{R}^2$. Then $\big({}^\circ L\big)^\star = c^{-1}\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$ is a rank 1 lattice in $\mathbb{R}^2$ while ${}^\circ(L^\star) = c^{-1}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ is not even a lattice.

## 5. The "almost-near" theorem for dual lattices standard formulation

In this final section we state and prove the announced standard version of the stability theorem for dual lattices, strengthening the preliminary Theorem 0.1. It is in fact a standard equivalent of Theorem 4.5. In its proof we will need the following last nonstandard lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let $L \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ be an internal lattice and $G \subseteq L$ be any additive subgroup of $L$. Let further $\delta < \frac{1}{3}$ be a positive real number and $x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector such that $|u\,x|_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}} \le \delta$ for every $u \in G$. Then $|u\,x|_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}} \approx 0$ for every $u \in G$.*

*Proof.* As the mapping $u \mapsto u\,x$ is an additive group homomorphism $L \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$, the image $G\,x = \{u\,x\,;\ u \in G\}$ of the subgroup $G \subseteq L$ under this map must be a subgroup of ${}^*\mathbb{R}$. However, if $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{3}$ is a (standard) real number, then ${}^*\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{I}\,{}^*\mathbb{R}$ is the biggest subgroup of ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ satisfying the inclusion ${}^*\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{I}\,{}^*\mathbb{R} \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{Z} + {}^*[-\delta, \delta]$. □

Recall that $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n\,;\ \|x\| \le 1\}$ denotes the (euclidean) unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let $n \ge 1$ be an integer and $\delta < \frac{1}{3}$, $\varepsilon$, $\lambda$ be positive reals. Then there exists a real number $r > 0$, depending just on $n$, $\delta$, $\varepsilon$ and $\lambda$, such that every $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, subject to $\lambda_1(L) \ge \lambda$, satisfies the following condition:*

*For any $x \in \mathrm{span}(L)$, such that $|u\,x|_{\mathbb{Z}} \le \delta$ for all $u \in L \cap rB$, there is a $y \in L^\star$ such that $\|x - y\| \le \varepsilon$.*

*Proof.* Admit that the conclusion of the Theorem fails for some fixed quadruple of parameters $n$, $\delta$, $\varepsilon$, $\lambda$ satisfying its assumptions. This is to say that for each real number $r > 0$ there is a lattice $L_r \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, satisfying $\lambda_1(L_r) \geq \lambda$, and an $x_r \in \mathrm{span}(L)$ such that $|u\,x|_{\mathbb{Z}} \leq \delta$ for every $u \in L_r \cap rB$, however $\|x_r - y\| > \varepsilon$ for any $y \in L^\star$, i.e., $(x_r + \varepsilon B) \cap L_r^\star = \emptyset$. Let us confine to the values of $r$ from the set $I = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ of all positive integers.

Let us pick any nontrivial ultrafilter $D$ on the set $I$ and form the ultraproduct $L = \prod_{r\in I} L_r \big/ D$, as well as the vector $x = (x_r)_{r\in I}\big/D \in L$ and the infinite positive hyperreal number $\rho = (1, 2, 3, \dots)/D$. Then, by the virtue of the Łos Theorem (Lemma 2.1), $L \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ is an internal lattice satisfying $\lambda_1(L) \geq \lambda$. For the same reason we have $x \in {}^*\mathrm{span}(L)$, $|u\,x|_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}} \leq \delta$ for every $u \in L \cap \rho\,{}^*B$, as well as $(x + \varepsilon\,{}^*B) \cap L^\star = \emptyset$. As $\mathbb{F}L \subseteq \rho\,{}^*B$ and it is a subgroup of $L$, in view of Lemma 5.1 the second of the above three conditions implies that $|u\,x|_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}} \approx 0$ for every $u \in \mathbb{F}L$.

As a consequence of Lemma 4.1, the covering radius $\mu = \mu(L^\star)$ is a finite positive hyperreal. (In fact, Lemma 1.3 and the Łos Theorem imply that $\mu \leq n^{3/2}/(2\lambda)$, however, this is not important for the moment.) Thus there is a $z \in L^\star$ such that $\|x - z\| \leq \mu$. Then $x - z \in \mathrm{span}(L)$ and

$$u\,(x - z) - u\,x = u\,z \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}\,,$$

hence $|u\,(x - z)|_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}} = |u\,x|_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}}$ for any $u \in L$. At the same time,

$$(x - z + \varepsilon\,{}^*B) \cap L^\star = (x + \varepsilon\,{}^*B) \cap L^\star = \emptyset\,.$$

We can conclude, that $x' = x - z \in {}^*\mathrm{span}(L)$ is a finite vector satisfying $|u\,x'|_{{}^*\mathbb{Z}} \approx 0$ for every finite $u \in L$, and $\|x' - y\| > \varepsilon$ for any $y \in L^\star$. This, however, contradicts Theorem 4.5. □

**Final remark.** Theorem 4.5 is rather robust in the sense that it does not explicitly involve any norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$ in its formulation. Moreover,

$$\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}^n = \{x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^n\,;\ \|x\| < \infty\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}^n = \{x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^n\,;\ \|x\| \approx 0\}$$

for (the canonic extension to ${}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ of) any norm $\|x\|$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and not just for the euclidean one. As a consequence, Theorem 5.2, which is its corollary, remains true even if $B$ denotes any centrally symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda_1(L)$ is replaced by the first Minkowski successive minimum

$$\lambda_1(C, L) = \min\big\{s \in \mathbb{R}\,;\ s > 0,\ L \cap s\,C \neq \{0\}\big\}$$

of another centrally symmetric convex body $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to $L$, and $\|x\|$ is an arbitrary norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$ (possibly without any direct relation either to $B$ or to $C$).

## References


[1] R. M. Anderson, *"Almost" implies "near"*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **196** (1986), 229–237.

[2] W. Banaszczyk, *New bounds in some transference theorems in the geometry of numbers*, Math. Ann. **296** (1993), 625–636.

[3] H. Boualem, R. Brouzet, *On what is the Almost-Near Principle*, Amer. Math. Monthly **119(5)** (2012), 381–393.

[4] J. W. S. Cassels, *An introduction to the geometry of numbers*, (reprint of the 1971 ed.), Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, etc., 1997.

[5] C. C. Chang, H. J. Keisler, *Model Theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam-London-New York, 1973.

[6] M. Davis, *Applied Nonstandard Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, New York-London, 1977.

[7] P. M. Gruber, C. G. Lekkerkerker, *Geometry of numbers*, (2nd ed.), North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford-Tokyo, 1987.

[8] C. W. Henson, *Foundations of nonstandard analysis: A gentle introduction to nonstandard extensions*, in: L. O. Arkeryd, N. J. Cutland, C. W. Henson (eds.), *Nonstandard analysis, theory and applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1997, pp. 1–49.
[9] R. Kannan, L. Lovász, *Covering minima and lattice-point-free convex bodies*, Annals Math. **128** (1988), 577–602.
[10] J. C. Lagarias, *Geodesic multidimensional continued fractions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **69** (1994), 464–488.
[11] J. C. Lagarias, *Point lattices*, in: R. L. Graham, M. Grötschel, L. Lovász L. (eds.), *Handbook of Combinatorics*, vol. I, Elsevier Science B.V., AmsterdamCambridge, MA, 1995, pp. 919966.
[12] J. C. Lagarias, H. W. Lenstra, Jr., C. P. Schnorr, *Korkin-Zolotarev bases and successive minima of a lattice and its reciprocal lattice*, Combinatorica **10** (1990), 333–348.
[13] M. Mačaj, P. Zlatoš, *Approximate extension of partial $\varepsilon$-characters of abelian groups to characters with application to integral point lattices*, Indag. Math. **16** (2005) 237–250.
[14] K. Mahler, *Über die Annäherung algebraischer Zahlen durch periodische Algorithmen*, Acta Math. **68** (1937), 109–144.
[15] K. Mahler, *Ein Übertragungsprinzip für konvexe Körper*, Čas. Pěst. Mat. Fyz. **68** (1939), 93–102.
[16] R. D. Mauldin, *The Scottish Book*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1981.
[17] T. M. Rassias, *On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam*, Acta Applicanda Math. **62** (2000), 23–130.
[18] G. Strang, *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, (4th ed.), Thomson Books, Belmont, CA, 2006.
[19] L. Székelyhidi, *Ulam's problem, Hyers's solution — and where they led*, in: T. Rassias (ed.), *Functional equations and inequalities* Math. Appl. **518** (2000), 259–285, Kluwer, Dordrecht.
[20] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publications, New York, 1961.
[21] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Wiley, New York, 1964.
[22] P. Zlatoš, *Stability of group homomorphisms in the compact-open topology*, J. Logic & Analysis **2:3** (2010), 1–15.
[23] P. Zlatoš, *Stability of homomorphisms in the compact-open topology*, Algebra Universalis **64** (2010), 203–212.

*Martin Vodička and Pavol Zlatoš*
*Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics*
*Comenius University*
*Mlynská dolina*
*842 48 Bratislava*
*Slovakia*
vodicka6@uniba.sk
zlatos@fmph.uniba.sk